arXiv:math/0312503v3 [math.AG] 6 Jan 2010

NOTE ON COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF SPHERICAL VARIETIES AND VOLUME POLYNOMIAL

KIUMARS KAVEH
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
MCMASTER UNIVERSITY

Abstract. Let G be a complex reductive group and X a projective spherical G-variety. Moreover, assume that the subalgebra A of the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ generated by $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ has Poincare duality. We give a description of the subalgebra A in terms of the volume of polytopes. This generalizes the Khovanskii-Pukhlikov description of the cohomology ring of a smooth toric variety. In particular, we obtain a unified description for the cohomology rings of complete flag varieties and smooth toric varieties. We also address the question of additivity of the moment and string polytopes and prove the additivity of the moment polytope for symmetric varieties.

Key words: spherical variety, flag variety, symmetric variety, toric variety, cohomology ring, moment polytope, string polytope, volume polynomial.
Subject Classification: 14M17.

1. Introduction

The well-known theorem of Bernstein and Kušnirenko ([Bernstein], [Kushnirenko], [Bernstein-Kušnirenko-Khovanskii]) on the number of solutions of a system of Laurent polynomial equations in terms of the volumes of their Newton polytopes, can be interpreted as giving a formula for the intersection numbers of divisors in a projective toric variety. Khovanskii and Pukhlikov [Khovanskii-Pukhlikov, §1.4] observed that the Bernstein-Kušnirenko theorem, in fact, completely determines the cohomology ring of any smooth projective toric variety. The key fact is that the cohomology ring of a projective toric variety is generated in degree 2.

The Bernstein-Kušnirenko theorem has been generalized to the representations of reductive groups by B. Kazarnovskii [Kazarnovskii] and more generally, to spherical varieties by M. Brion [Brion, § 4.1]. Let G be a complex connected reductive algebraic group. A normal G-variety X is called *spherical*, if a Borel subgroup of G has a dense open orbit. Equivalently, X is spherical if the space of global sections of any G-linearized line bundle on X, regarded as a G-module, is multiplicity free. Let V be a finite dimensional G-module and $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ a closed spherical G-subvariety of dimension n. Let L be the line bundle on X obtained by the restriction of the anti-canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$ to X. The line bundle L is naturally G-linearized and one can recover the embedding of X into $\mathbb{P}(V)$ from it. The Brion-Kazarnovskii formula gives the degree of X in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ as the integral of an explicitly defined polynomial over the *moment polytope* $\mu(X, L)$ (Theorem 3.2). The degree of X in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is just $c_1(L)^n$ where c_1 denotes the first Chern class.

When G is one of the classical simple groups over $\mathbb{C}$, A. Okounkov [Okounkov] associated a polytope $\Delta(X, L)$ to the pair (X, L) such that $\deg(X)$ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is equal to $n!\mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta(X, L))$ (where $n = \dim(X)$ and Vol_n is with respect to the usual

Lebesgue measure). The polytope $\Delta(X, L)$ is the polytope fibred over the moment polytope of (X, L) with the Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes as fibers. Following ideas of Caldero [Caldero], Alexeev and Brion observed that Okounkov's construction can be done for spherical varieties of any connected reductive group [Alexeev-Brion]. They used it to extend the toric degeneration result in [Kaveh] for $G = \mathrm{SP}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, to any connected reductive group G. That is, X can be deformed, in a flat family, to the toric variety associated to any of these polytopes. Alexeev-Brion-Caldero's work relies on the generalization of the well-known Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes for $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ to any complex connected reductive group. These are the so-called *string polytopes*. Recall that a reduced decomposition $\mathbf{S}$ for w_0, the longest element in the Weyl group, is a decomposition $w_0 = s_1 \cdots s_N$ into simple reflections s_i. Here $N = \ell(w_0)$ is the length of w_0 which is equal to the number of positive roots. For a choice of a reduced decomposition for w_0 and a dominant weight λ, one constructs a string polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ [Littelmann]. The string polytope has the property that the integral points inside it are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the so-called canonical basis for the highest weight representation space V_λ. For a spherical variety X and a G-linearized very ample line bundle L on X, the polytope constructed by Alexeev-Brion-Okounkov is a rational polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L) \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the real vector space generated by the weight lattice. The projection on the first factor maps this polytope onto the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ and the fibre over each $\lambda \in \mu(X, L)$ is the string polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$. From the above mentioned property of the string polytopes, and using the asymptotic Riemann-Roch, it can be seen that

$$\deg(X, L) = n!\mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)). \tag{1}$$

In analogy with the toric geometry, we call the polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$, the *Newton polytope of* (X, L).

Unlike the toric case, the cohomology ring of a projective spherical variety, in general, is not generated in degree 2 (for example the Grassmannian of 2-planes in $\mathbb{C}^4$). Let A denote the subalgebra of the cohomology ring of a projective spherical variety X generated by degree 2 elements. In this note, we use the Alexeev-Brion-Okounkov formula (1) for the degree, to we give a description of the graded algebra A, provided that this subalgebra satisfies Poincare duality. This description is in terms of volume of Newton polytopes (Theorem 5.1). It is a direct generalization of the Khovanskii-Pukhlikov description of the cohomology ring of a smooth projective toric variety ([Khovanskii-Pukhlikov]). It will follow from a general theorem in commutative algebra which asserts that certain algebras can be realized as a quotient of the algebra of differential operators with constant coefficients (Theorem 2.1).

Let X be a projective T-toric variety and L a very ample T-linearized line bundle on X. A nice property of the Newton polytope map $L \mapsto \Delta(X, L)$ in the toric case is the additivity. Namely if L_1, L_2 are two very ample T-linearized line bundles then

$$\Delta(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) = \Delta(X, L_1) + \Delta(X, L_2).$$

This implies that the formula (1) extends to give a formula for the product of Chern classes of n line bundles as the mixed volume of the corresponding Newton polytopes.

Let us go back to a projective spherical G-variety X. To investigate the analogy with the toric case more closely, in Section 4 we address the question when the map

$$L \mapsto \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$$

is additive i.e.

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) = \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L_1) + \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L_2),$$

where L_1 and L_2 are very ample G-linearized line bundles and the addition is the Minkowski sum of convex polytopes. For this, we discuss the additivity of the moment and string polytopes separately. We see that in general neither one is additive. Although we show that when X is a *symmetric variety* and the restriction of L to the open orbit is trivial, then the moment polytope map is additive (Theorem 4.5), that is

$$\mu(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) = \mu(X, L_1) + \mu(X, L_2).$$

On the other hand, for $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SP}(2n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ (or a direct product of them) and their Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes (as defined in [Bernstein-Zelevinsky]) the map $\lambda \mapsto \Delta(\lambda)$, is additive. From this the additivity of $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$ follows for symmetric varieties of the above classical groups (or a direct product of them). An interesting class of examples of symmetric varieties are compactifications of reductive groups.

It is well-known that the cohomology ring of the complete flag variety G/B is generated in degree 2. Thus our result in particular gives a description of the cohomology ring of G/B in terms of the volume of polytopes (Corollary 6.2). It is interesting to note that this way, we arrive at analogous descriptions for the cohomology rings of toric varieties and the flag variety G/B. This description of the cohomology ring of G/B coincides with Borel's description via a theorem of Kostant [Kostant]. It states that if P is the polynomial which is the product of equations of the hyperplanes perpendicular to the roots, then the ideal of differential operators f which annihilate P is generated by the W-invariant operators.

In [Caldero], Caldero gives a flat degeneration of the flag variety G/B with line bundle L_λ (corresponding to a dominant weight λ) to the toric variety X_0 with line bundle L_0 corresponding to the polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$. Since the Hilbert polynomial is preserved in a flat family, the degree of L_λ and the degenerated line bundle L_0 are the same. This provides a geometric visualization for the similarity of our description of the cohomology rings of G/B and toric varieties. Note that in general the cohomology ring is not preserved under a flat degeneration, and also the toric variety corresponding to a string polytope (in particular a G-C polytope) typically is not smooth. As mentioned above, for a spherical variety X and a very ample G-linearized line bundle L, the toric degeneration to the toric variety $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$ has been constructed in [Kaveh] (in the special case of $G = \mathrm{SP}(2n, \mathbb{C})$) and [Alexeev-Brion] (in general).

This note is closely related to [Guillemin-Sternberg].

Few words about the organization of the paper: Section 2 discusses a commutative algebra theorem which realizes certain algebras as quotients of algebra of differential operators with constant coefficients. Section 3 discusses the Brion-Kazarnovskii formula for the degree of a projective spherical variety, string polytopes and Okounkov's polytope. Section 4 addresses the question of additivity of the moment and string polytopes and proves the additivity of the moment polytope for symmetric varieties. The main theorem appears in Section 5 and finally Section

6 discusses the examples of toric varieties and flag varieties.

Acknowledgment: The author would like to thank A. G. Khovanskii, E. Meinrenken and V. Timorin for several helpful discussions. Also thanks to M. Brion and W. Fulton for their useful comments and pointing out errors in the previous versions.

2. A THEOREM FROM COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

Let A be a finite dimensional graded commutative algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. In this section we prove the following fact: if A is generated by A_1, the subspace of degree 1 elements, and satisfies few good properties, then the algebra A can be described as a quotient of the algebra of differential operators with constant coefficients on the vector space A_1.

We will use this theorem to give a description of the cohomology subalgebra of a projective spherical variety generated by elements of degree 2, provided that this algebra satisfies Poincare duality. This theorem is implicit in the work of Khovanskii-Pukhlikov [Khovanskii-Pukhlikov]. There is also a version of it for zero-dimensional local Gorenstein algebras (see [Eisenbud, Ex. 21.7]) [1]. For the sake of completeness we give a proof of this theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a commutative finite dimensional graded algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. Put $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n A_i$, where A_i is the i-th graded piece of A.*

Suppose the following conditions hold:

- (i) *A is generated, as an algebra, by A_1.*
- (ii) *$A_0 \cong A_n \cong k$.*
- (iii) *The bilinear map $A_i \times A_{n-i} \to A_n \cong k$ given by $(u,v) \mapsto uv$ is non-degenerate for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.*

Let $\{\mathbf{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a_r}\}$ be a basis for A_1 and $P : k^r \to k$ be the polynomial defined by $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1\mathbf{a_1} + \cdots + x_r\mathbf{a_r})^n \in A_n \cong k$. Then A, as a graded algebra, is isomorphic to the algebra $k[t_1, \dots, t_r]/I$, where I the ideal defined by

$$I = \{f(t_1, \dots, t_r) \mid f(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}) \cdot P = 0\},$$

and $f(\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_r)$ is the differential operator obtained by replacing t_i with $\partial/\partial x_i$ in f.

Proof. It is straightforward to see that I is indeed an ideal of $k[t_1, \dots, t_r]$. Next, consider the surjective homomorphism $\Phi : k[t_1, \dots, t_r] \to A$ given by $f \mapsto f(\mathbf{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a_r})$ where

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_r) = \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r} c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r} {t_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots {t_r}^{\beta_r}$$

is a polynomial in $t_1, \dots, t_r$ and

$$f(\mathbf{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a_r}) = \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r} c_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r} \mathbf{a_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{a_r}^{\beta_r}.$$

---

[1] The conclusion of our Theorem 2.1 is stronger than the conclusion of [Eisenbud, Exercise 21.7], but the latter is true for the more general class of zero-dimensional local Gorenstein algebras.

We wish to prove that $\ker(\Phi) = I$. Note that from the definition, both I and $\ker(\Phi)$ are generated by homogeneous elements. From the definition of the polynomial P we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, \dots, x_r) &= (\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i \mathbf{a_i})^n \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_r=n} n_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r} \mathbf{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathbf{a_r}^{\alpha_r} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_r^{\alpha_r}, \end{aligned}$$

with $n_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r} = \frac{n!}{\alpha_1!\cdots\alpha_r!}$. Let

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_r) = \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_r=n} c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_r} t_1^{\beta_1} \cdots t_r^{\beta_r}$$

be a homogeneous polynomial of degree n. One can see that

$$f(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}) \cdot P = n! \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_r=n} c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_r} \mathbf{a_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{a_r}^{\beta_r}.$$

Thus $f(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}) \cdot P = 0$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a_r}) = 0$. Next, let

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_r) = \sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_r=m} c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_r} t_1^{\beta_1} \cdots t_r^{\beta_r}$$

be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m < n$. Suppose $f(\mathbf{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a_r}) \neq 0$. By assumption (iii), there exists a homogeneous polynomial $g(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ of degree $(n-m)$ such that $g(\mathbf{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a_r}) f(\mathbf{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a_r}) \neq 0$. Since gf is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n, by what we proved, $(gf)(\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_r) \cdot P \neq 0$ which implies that $f(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}) \cdot P \neq 0$. So we showed that $f \in I$ implies $f \in \ker(\Phi)$. Conversely, suppose $f(\mathbf{a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a_r}) = 0$, we have

$$f(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}) \cdot P = \sum_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_r=n;\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_r=m;\beta_1\leq\alpha_1,\dots,\beta_r\leq\alpha_r} n_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r} \mathbf{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathbf{a_r}^{\alpha_r} \cdot$$

$$\frac{\alpha_1!}{(\alpha_1-\beta_1)!} \cdots \frac{\alpha_r!}{(\alpha_r-\beta_r)!} c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_r} x_1^{\alpha_1-\beta_1} \cdots x_r^{\alpha_r-\beta_r}.$$

With $\gamma_i = \alpha_i - \beta_i$ we can write the above expression as

$$\begin{aligned} f(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}) \cdot P &= (\sum_{\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_r=m} c_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_r} \mathbf{a_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{a_r}^{\beta_r}) \cdot \\ &\quad (\sum_{\gamma_1+\cdots+\gamma_r=n-m} \frac{n!}{\gamma_1! \cdots \gamma_r!} (\mathbf{a_1} x_1)^{\gamma_1} \cdots (\mathbf{a_r} x_r)^{\gamma_r}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $f \in I$. Hence we proved that $\ker(\Phi) = I$ and thus $A \cong k[t_1, \dots, t_r]/I$. $\square$

In Section 5, we take A to be the cohomology subalgebra generated by $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ where X is a projective spherical variety. For each i, the i-th graded piece A_i will be $A \cap H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

3. Degree of a spherical variety

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ and X a projective spherical G-variety of dimension n. Recall that a normal G-variety is spherical if a Borel subgroup of G has a dense orbit. Equivalently, X is spherical if and only if for any G-linearized line bundle L on X, the decomposition of the space of global sections $H^0(X, L)$ into irreducible G-modules is multiplicity free. In this section, we explain the formulae for the degree of a G-linearized line bundle L on X in terms of: 1) an integral over the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$, and 2) the volume of a larger polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$. For simplicity we will state the theorems for very ample line bundles although since $\deg(L^{\otimes k}) = k^n \deg(L)$, it is easily seen that the formulae hold for ample line bundles as well.

Notation: Throughout the rest of the paper we will use the following notation. G denotes a connected reductive algebraic group (over $\mathbb{C}$), B a Borel subgroup of G, and T a maximal torus contained in B. The Lie algebra of T and its dual will be denoted respectively by $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Let $\Phi = \Phi(X, T) \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the root system with $\Phi^+ = \Phi^+(X, T)$ the subset of positive roots. We denote by $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ the corresponding simple roots where r is the semi-simple rank of G. Let W be the Weyl group of (G, T), and $s_1, \ldots, s_r \in W$ the simple reflections associated with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$. These generators of W define a length function ℓ on this group. We denote by w_0 the unique longest element in W. Then $N = \ell(w_0)$ is the number of positive roots. Denote by Λ the weight lattice of G (that is, the character group of T), and by Λ^+ the semigroup of dominant weights. Put $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Then the convex cone generated by Λ^+ in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the positive Weyl chamber $\Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}$. For a dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the irreducible G-module with highest weight λ will be denoted by V_λ, and v_λ will represent a highest weight vector for V_λ.

3.1. **Formula for degree.** Let L be a very ample G-linearized line bundle on the projective spherical variety X. It gives rise to an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$, with $V = H^0(X, L)^*$. In this section we state the Brion-Kazarnovskii formula for the degree of X in $\mathbb{P}(V)$.

Recall that for every projective G-variety X with a G-linearized line bundle L, the moment polytope is defined as follows:

$$\mu(X, L) = \overline{\mathrm{conv}(\bigcup_k \{\lambda/k \mid \text{multiplicity of } V_\lambda \text{ in } H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}) \neq 0\})}. \tag{2}$$

Remark 3.1. Interestingly, when X is smooth, $\mu(X, L)$ coincides with the moment polytope (also called the *Kirwan polytope*) in symplectic geometry [Brion]: since L is very ample we have a G-equivariant embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$, $V = H^0(X, L)^*$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G and choose a K-invariant hermitian inner product on V. It induces a Kaehler metric on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and hence on X. The imaginary part of this metric gives a K-invariant symplectic structure on X. With this, X becomes a K-Hamiltonian manifold. Let $\phi_L : X \to \mathrm{Lie}(K)^*$ be its moment map. Then

$$\mu(X, L) = \phi_L(X) \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Theorem 3.2 (§4.1, [Brion]). *The degree of X in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is equal to*

$$n! \int_{\mu(X,L)} f(\gamma) d\gamma,$$

where $f(\gamma)$ is the function on the linear span of $\mu(X,L)$ defined by

$$f(\gamma) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+\setminus E} \frac{\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle}{\langle\rho,\alpha\rangle}.$$

Here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the Killing form, E is the set of positive roots which are orthogonal to $\mu(X,L)$ and ρ is half the sum of positive roots.

3.2. **String polytopes.** For the sake of completeness, in this section we recall the definition of string polytopes for a reductive group G. Some of this recollection is taken from [Alexeev-Brion, §1].

Consider the algebra

$$A = \mathbb{C}[G]^U$$

of regular functions on G which are invariant under the right multiplication by U. The group $G\times T$ acts on A where G acts on the left and T acts on the right, since it normalizes U. It is well-known that we have the following isomorphism of $G\times T$ modules

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda^+} V_\lambda^*,$$

where $V_\lambda^* = V_{\lambda^*}$ is the irreducible representation with highest weight $\lambda^* = -w_0(\lambda)$, and T acts on each V_λ^* via the character λ.

The vector space A has a remarkable basis $\mathcal{B} = (b_{\lambda,\phi})$, where each $b_{\lambda,\phi}$ is an eigenvector of $T\times T \subset G\times T$, of weight λ for the right T-action. For fixed λ, the vectors $b_{\lambda,\phi}$ form a basis for V_λ^*. This basis is the dual basis for the so-called *canonical basis* of V_λ which consists of the nonzero bv_λ, where b belongs to the specialization of Kashiwara-Luszig's *canonical basis* at $q=1$. The basis $\mathcal{B}$ is called the *dual canonical basis.* For a reduced decomposition of w_0, the longest element of W, one can define a parametrization of $\mathcal{B}$ called the *string parametrization* [Littelmann, Bernstein-Zelevinsky]. Recall that an N-tuple of simple reflections

$$\mathbf{S} = (s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_N})$$

is a *reduced decomposition* for w_0 if $w_0 = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_N}$, $N = \ell(w_0)$. The string parametrization associated to $\mathbf{S}$ is an injective map

$$\iota_{\mathbf{S}} : \mathcal{B} \to \Lambda^+ \times \mathbb{N}^N,$$

$$\iota(b_{\lambda,\phi}) = (\lambda, t_1, \ldots, t_N), \quad \forall b_{\lambda,\phi}\in\mathcal{B}.$$

The string parameters have to do with the weight of a basis element as an eigenvector for the $T\times T$-action. Namely, the weight of $b_{\lambda,\phi}\in\mathcal{B}$ for the right T-action is equal to λ and its weight for the left T-action is

$$-\lambda + t_1\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + t_N\alpha_{i_N}.$$

A remarkable theorem of Littelmann [Littelmann] describes the image of the string parametrization $\iota_{\mathbf{S}}$: it is the intersection of a rational convex polyhedral cone $\mathcal{C}$ of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}\times\mathbb{R}^N$ with the lattice $\Lambda\times\mathbb{Z}^N$.

Definition 3.3. The string polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$ is the polytope in $\mathbb{R}^N$ obtained by slicing the cone $\mathcal{C}$ at λ, that is

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) = \{(t_1,\ldots,t_N) \mid (\lambda,t_1,\ldots,t_N)\in\mathcal{C}\}.$$

Note that: 1) $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$ is defined for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^+$. 2) From the definition it follows that $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(k\lambda) = k\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$ for any positive integer k. By what was said above, when λ is a dominant weight, the lattice points in $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$, i.e. the points in $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$, are in bijection with the elements of the basis $b_{\lambda,\phi}$ for V_λ^* (and hence in bijection with the basis for V_λ). Thus,

$$\#(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) = \dim(V_\lambda) \tag{3}$$

Let $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$ be a highest weight vector and P_λ the parabolic subgroup associated to the weight λ, that is, P_λ is the stabilizer of the point $[v_\lambda] \in \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$. Then we have an embedding $i : G/P_\lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$, given by $gP_\lambda \mapsto g \cdot [v_\lambda]$. Let L_λ be the line bundle on G/P_λ induced by this embedding, i.e. $L_\lambda = i^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$. It is well-known that for $k > 0$, we have $H^0(X, L_\lambda^{\otimes k}) \cong V_{k\lambda}^*$ as G-modules. Put $n = \dim(G/P_\lambda)$. From (3) we can find the degree of G/P_λ, as a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(G/P_\lambda, L_\lambda) &= n! \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\dim H^0(G/P, {L_\lambda}^{\otimes k})}{k^n}, \\ &= n! \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\dim(V_{k\lambda})}{k^n}, \\ &= n! \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\#(k\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)}{k^n}, \\ &= n! \mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Remark 3.4. The Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes [Gelfand-Cetlin, Bernstein-Zelevinsky] are special cases of the string polytopes. More precisely, let $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The Weyl group is $W = S_{n+1}$. Let us take the nice reduced decomposition

$$w_0 = (s_1)(s_2 s_1)(s_3 s_2 s_1) \cdots (s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_1)$$

for w_0, where s_i denotes the transposition exchanging i and $i+1$. Then $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$ coincides with the well-known Gelfand-Cetlin polytope corresponding to λ. Similarly, when $G = \mathrm{SP}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$, for a similar choice of a reduced decomposition, one can recover the Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes as the string polytopes [Littelmann].

3.3. **Degree as volume of a polytope.**

The formula for the degree of (X, L) in Theorem 3.2 can be interpreted as the volume of certain polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$. Fix a reduced decomposition $\mathbf{S}$ for w_0. The polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$ is a rational convex polytope in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^N$. The first projection $p : \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ maps $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$ onto the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ and the fibre of $p : \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L) \to \mu(X, L)$ over $\lambda \in \mu(X, L)$ is the string polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$. We call $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$ the *Newton polytope* of $(X, L, \mathbf{S})$. The Newton polytope has the property that for all non-negative integers k:

$$\#(k\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L) \cap (\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}^N)) = \dim H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}). \tag{5}$$

For a rational convex polytope $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^M$, the function $k \mapsto \#(k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^M)$ is called the *Ehrhart function* of Δ. Equation (5) implies that the Ehrhart function of $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$ coincides with the Hilbert function of (X, L). As in (4), it follows that

Theorem 3.5 (Remark 3.9 (iii)[Alexeev-Brion]). *Let X be a projective spherical variety of dimension n and L a very ample G-linearized line bundle on X. Then*

$$\deg(X, L) = n! \mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)),$$

where Vol_n *is the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure in the subspace spanned by the Newton polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L) \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and normalized with respect to the lattice*

$\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}^N$, *i.e. the smallest volume of a parallelpiped with vertices in the lattice* $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}^N$ *is* 1. *(If* $\dim(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)) < n$, *its* n*-dimensional volume is* 0.*)*

4. ADDITIVITY OF MOMENT AND STRING POLYTOPES

Let X be a projective spherical variety, and L a very ample G-linearized line bundle on it. In this section we investigate the additivity of the maps which associate to L its moment polytope

$$L \mapsto \mu(X, L),$$

and its Newton polytope

$$L \mapsto \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L).$$

(As before $\mathbf{S}$ is a fixed reduced decomposition for the longest element w_0.) Namely, if L_1 and L_2 are two very ample line bundles on X we wish to investigate whether

$$\mu(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) = \mu(X, L_1) + \mu(X, L_2), \tag{6}$$

or

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L_1 \otimes L_2) = \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L_1) + \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L_2), \tag{7}$$

where the addition in the righthand side is the Minkowski sum of convex polytopes. In turns out that the additivity of the moment polytope map, and consequently the Newton polytope map, in general is false. But we will show that it holds in some important cases: 1) toric varieties, and 2) symmetric varieties and line bundles which restrict trivially to the open orbit. (This in particular includes the group compactifications.) The additivity of the moment polytope for toric varieties has been known while the corresponding result for symmetric varieties seems to be new.

The degree map

$$L \mapsto \deg(X, L) = c_1(L)^n,$$

extends to a polynomial of degree n on the vector space $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. The additivity in the toric case leads to a nice description of the degree function on $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ as follows.

The semigroup of convex polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the Minkowski sum has the cancellation property. Hence it can be extended to a (real) vector space consisting of the *virtual polytopes* which are the formal differences of convex polytopes. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote this vector space. One knows that the volume as a function on the space of polytopes extends to a polynomial function on the vector space $\mathcal{V}$. Thus the volume function is also called the *volume polynomial*. Let us say that two polytopes Δ_1, Δ_2 are *equivalent*, and write $\Delta_1 \sim \Delta_2$, if Δ_1 can be identified with Δ_2 by a translation in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is easy to verify that the equivalence relation $\sim$ extends to an equivalence relation on the vector space $\mathcal{V}$ respecting the vector space operations.

The moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ depends on the T-linearization of L. For different T-linearizations of L the moment polytopes are equivalent. Thus, by additivity, the map $L \mapsto \mu(X, L)$ induces a linear map $\mu : \mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{V}/\sim$. Now by the Kušnirenko theorem,

$$\deg(X, L) = n!\mathrm{Vol}_n(\mu(X, L)).$$

It follows that the degree polynomial

$$\deg : \mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathbb{Z}, \quad L \mapsto \deg(X, L) = c_1(L)^n$$

is the composition of the linear map μ with the volume polynomial.

Below we discuss the additivity for the moment polytope. At the end of the section we will address the additivity of the string polytopes. We observe that the Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes for classical groups, which are the classical and most important examples of the string polytopes, are indeed additive. From these we then conclude the additivity of the map $L \mapsto \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$ in some important cases (Corollary 4.9).

An important example in which the moment polytope is additive is the class of toric varieties.

Theorem 4.1 (Additivity of the moment polytope for toric varieties)**.** *When X is a projective toric variety the moment polytope map is additive (in the sense of (6)).*

Proof. Let Σ be the fan of the toric variety X with $\Sigma(1)$ the collection of one-dimensional cones in Σ. For $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ let u_ρ denote the smallest integral vector along ρ (i.e. the *primitive vector on ρ*). Also let D_ρ denote the irreducible divisor which is the closure of the codimension 1 orbit corresponding to ρ. It is well-known that every (Cartier) divisor D on X is equivalent to a linear combination $\sum_{\rho\in\Sigma(1)} a_\rho D_\rho$. **Note that $D = \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma(1)} a_\rho D_\rho$ is T-invariant and hence its corresponding line bundle $L(D)$ has a T-linearization.** Moreover, if D is very ample then the moment polytope of the T-linearized line bundle $L = L(D)$ is given by the following inequalities:

$$\mu(X, L) = \{m \mid \langle m, u_\rho\rangle \geq -a_\rho, \forall \rho \in \Sigma(1)\}. \tag{8}$$

Now for $i = 1, 2$, let $D_i = \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma(1)} a_{\rho i} D_\rho$ be two very ample divisors with corresponding line bundles $L_i = L(D_i)$ and moment polytopes $\mu_i = \mu(X, L(D_i)) = \{m \mid \langle m, u_\rho\rangle \geq -a_{\rho i}, \forall \rho \in \Sigma(1)\}$. Then $D_1 + D_2 = \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma(1)}(a_{\rho 1} + a_{\rho 2})D_\rho$ and $L = L(D_1 + D_2) = L_1 \otimes L_2$. Also the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ is given by $\{m \mid \langle m, u_\rho\rangle \geq -(a_{\rho 1} + a_{\rho 2}), \forall \rho \in \Sigma(1)\}$ which is clearly the Minkowski sum of the polytopes μ_1 and μ_2. This proves the theorem. □

The following is an example of a spherical variety for which the additivity of the moment polytope fails.[2]

Example 4.2. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ act on $X = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ diagonally. This is a spherical variety. Let V_n denote the irreducible representation of G of dimension $n + 1$ and let v_n be a highest weight vector of V_n. The flag variety $G/B \cong \mathbb{CP}^1$ embeds in $\mathbb{P}(V_n)$ via $gB \mapsto g \cdot v_n$. Let L_n be the line bundle on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ induced by this embedding. Then $L_n = \mathcal{O}(n)$. Let π_1 and π_2 denote the projection of X on the first and second factors respectively. For non-negative integers a and b define

$$L_{a,b} = \pi_1^*(L_a) \otimes \pi_2^*(L_b).$$

It is a G-linearized line bundle on X for the diagonal action of G. Let us compute the moment polytopes $\mu(X, L_{2,1})$, $\mu(X, L_{1,2})$ and $\mu(X, L_{3,3})$ to show that the latter is not the sum of the other two moment polytopes. Now

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, (L_{2,1})^{\otimes k}) &= H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \pi_1^*(L_{2k}) \otimes \pi_2^*(L_k)) \\ &= H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \pi_1^*(L_{2k})) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \pi_2^*(L_k)) \\ &= V_{2k} \otimes V_k \\ &= V_k \oplus V_{k+2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{3k}. \end{aligned}$$

---

[2] This example as well as the additivity of the moment polytope for symmetric varieties was suggested to the author by M. Brion.

From (2) we obtain that $\mu(X, L_{2,1})$ is the line segment $[1,3]$. Similarly $\mu(X, L_{1,2})$ is $[1,3]$. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, (L_{3,3})^{\otimes k}) &= H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \pi_1^*(L_{3k}) \otimes \pi_2^*(L_{3k})) \\ &= H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \pi_1^*(L_{3k})) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \pi_2^*(L_{3k})) \\ &= V_{3k} \otimes V_{3k} \\ &= V_0 \oplus V_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{6k}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus the moment polytope of $(X, L_{3,3})$ is the line segment $[0,6]$. It is obvious that, regarded as 1-dimensional polytopes, the Minkowski sum of $[1,3]$ with itself is not equal to $[0,6]$.

Now we prove that the additivity of the moment polytope map for a symmetric variety X and line bundles which are trivial restricted to the open orbit. One can verify that in the above example the line bundles L_1, L_2 restrict to non-trivial line bundles on the open orbit. In other words, L_1 and L_2 do not correspond to any G-invariant divisor. For simplicity we now assume G is semi-simple adjoint. Although most of the discussion in the rest of this section, with slight modification, holds for any connected reductive group.

A homogeneous space G/H is a *symmetric homogeneous space* if H is the fixed point set of an algebraic involution σ of G (i.e. σ is an algebraic automorphism of order 2). A G-variety which contains G/H as an open orbit is called a *symmetric variety.* We will only deal with complete symmetric varieties. Symmetric varieties are spherical.

A torus in G is σ-split if for every x in the torus $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$. All maximal σ-split tori are conjugate. It is well-known that one can choose a maximal σ-split torus T_1 and a maximal torus T which is σ-invariant and contains T_1. The action of σ on the roots $\Phi = \Phi(G,T)$ gives a decomposition $\Phi = \Phi_0 \cup \Phi_1$ where Φ_0 are the σ-fixed roots and Φ_1 are the roots which are moved under σ. Fix a set of positive roots Φ^+ such that $\sigma(\Phi^+ \cap \Phi_1) \subseteq \Phi^- \cap \Phi_1$. Let Δ be the set of simple roots corresponding to this set of positive roots. Again write $\Delta = \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1$ as fixed and moved roots. The elements of Φ_1 restricted to $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$ constitute a root system with basis the restriction of the elements in Δ_1 to $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$. Let W_1 be the Weyl group of this restricted root system.

The variety G/H has a distinguished point, namely $x_0 = eH$, the coset of identity. The T_1-stabilizer of x_0 is $T_1 \cap H$ which is finite. Put $S = T_1/(T_1 \cap H)$. Then S is a torus which acts with no stabilizer at x_0. We can write $\mathrm{Lie}(T) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_1) + \mathrm{Lie}(T_1)^\perp$ where $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement to $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$ under the Killing form. The orthogonal projection $\mathrm{Lie}(T) \to \mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$ gives an embedding $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)^* \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(T)^*$ with image $\mathrm{ann}(\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)^\perp) = \{\xi \in \mathrm{Lie}(T_1) \mid \langle \xi, t\rangle = 0,\ \forall t \in \mathrm{Lie}(T_1)^\perp\}$. We will identify $\mathrm{Lie}(S)^* = \mathrm{Lie}(T_1)^*$ with this subspace. It can be verified that under this identification, weights of S go to weights of T, which identifies $\Lambda(S)$, the weight lattice of S (respectively its real span $\Lambda(S)_\mathbb{R}$) with a sublattice of Λ (respectively a subspace of $\Lambda_\mathbb{R}$). One also verifies that under this identification, the intersection of each Weyl chamber for T with $\Lambda(S)_\mathbb{R}$ is a Weyl chamber for W_1. Moreover, the positive Weyl chamber for T intersected with $\Lambda(S)_\mathbb{R}$ gives the positive Weyl chamber for W_1 (for the choice Δ_1 of basis roots).

Let X be a G-equivariant compactification of G/H and let $Z = Z_X$ be the closure of the $S \cdot x_0$ in X. It is an S-toric variety. One shows that the fan of Z

in Lie(S) is W_1-invariant and hence Z is determined by a fan in the fundamental domain of W_1 acting on $\Lambda(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 4.3 ([DeConcini-Procesi]). *$X \mapsto Z$ is a one-to-one correspondence between the G-equivariant completions of G/H and the complete S-toric varieties whose fans are W_1-invariant. Moreover, X is smooth if and only if Z is smooth.*

Let L be a very ample G-linearized line bundle on X. Moreover, assume that the restriction of L to the open orbit is trivial. The following result due to Bifet-Deconcini-Procesi shows how the moment maps of (X, L) and $(Z, L_{|Z})$ are related.

Theorem 4.4 (§12, [Bifet-DeConcini-Procesi]). *1) $\mu(X, L)$ lies in the intersection of $\Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}$, the positive Weyl chamber for T, with $\Lambda(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (regarded as a subspace of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$). In other words, $\mu(X, L)$ lies in the positive Weyl chamber for W_1. 2) The W_1-orbit of $\mu(X, L)$ coincides with $\mu(Z, L_{|Z})$.*

Theorem 4.5 (Additivity of the moment polytope for symmetric varieties). *For a complete symmetric variety X the moment polytope map is additive (in the sense of 6).*

Proof. For a dominant weight λ let $P_\lambda \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the convex hull of $\{w.\lambda \mid w \in W\}$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *For any two dominant weights λ_1 and λ_2 we have*

$$(P_{\lambda_1} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}) + (P_{\lambda_2} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}) = (P_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ and $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r$ be the simple roots and the fundamental weights respectively. Choose a W-invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \omega_i,\ c_i \geq 0$ be a dominant weight. From the definition it follows that the polytope $(P_\lambda \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}})$ is defined by the inequalities:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle & \geq & 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r \\ \langle x, \omega_i \rangle & \leq & c_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r \end{array}$$

Now let $\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j^{(i)} \omega_j,\ i = 1, 2$. It is easy to see that the Minkowski sum of $(P_{\lambda_1} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $(P_{\lambda_2} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}})$ is given by the inequalities

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle & \geq & 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r \\ \langle x, \omega_i \rangle & \leq & c_i^{(1)} + c_i^{(2)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r \end{array}$$

which is the same inequalities defining the polytope $P_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}$. □

Let L_1 and L_2 be very ample G-linearized line bundles on X. Put $\Delta_1 = \mu(X, L_1),\ \Delta_2 = \mu(X, L_2)$ and $\Delta = \mu(X, L_1 \otimes L_2)$. We want to show $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$. Let $W_1 \cdot \Delta_i = \Delta'_i,\ i = 1, 2$ and $W_1 \cdot \Delta = \Delta'$. By Theorem 4.4, $\Delta'_1 = \mu(Z, L_{1|Z}), \Delta'_2 = \mu(Z, L_{2|Z})$ and $\Delta' = \mu(Z, (L_1 \otimes L_2)_{|Z})$. From Theorem 4.1, $\Delta' = \Delta'_1 + \Delta'_2$. Thus we need to show that $(\Delta'_1 + \Delta'_2) \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}} = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$. That is, if for $\lambda_i \in \Delta_i$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W_1$ we have $w_1(\lambda_1) + w_2(\lambda_2) \in \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}$ then $w_1(\lambda_1) + w_2(\lambda_2) \in \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$. Now $w_i(\lambda_i) \in P_{\lambda_i}$ and hence $w_1(\lambda_1) + w_2(\lambda_2) \in P_{\lambda_1} + P_{\lambda_2} = P_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$. Thus $w_1(\lambda_1) + w_2(\lambda_2) \in P_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}$. From Lemma 4.6, we conclude that

$$w_1(\lambda_1) + w_2(\lambda_2) \in (P_{\lambda_1} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}) + (P_{\lambda_2} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

But λ_i lies in Δ_i and thus in $P_{\lambda_i} \cap \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \Delta_i$, that is, $w_1(\lambda_1) + w_2(\lambda_2) \in \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$ as required. $\square$

Example 4.7. An interesting example of a symmetric variety is a group compactification. Below we describe the moment polytope of a group compactification. Let $\pi : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a representation of G. Assume that the map $\tilde{\pi} : G \to \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V))$ is an embedding. Such a representation is called *projectively faithful*. Let $X_\pi = \overline{\tilde{\pi}(G)}$ be the closure of the image of G in $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V))$. This variety is not necessarily normal. It enjoys an action of $G \times G$ which comes from the standard action of $\pi(G) \times \pi(G)$ on $\mathrm{End}(V)$ by multiplication from left and right. This action extends the usual left-right action of $G \times G$ on G. Let P_π denote the convex hull of the weights of π. It is a W-invariant polytope in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(V))}(1)$ to X_π gives a very ample $(G \times G)$-linearized line bundle L_π. The polytope P_π and $\mu(X_\pi, L_\pi)$ are related as follows. Define $\iota : \mathfrak{t}^* \to \mathfrak{t}^* \oplus \mathfrak{t}^*$ by

$$\iota(x) = (x, x^*), \tag{9}$$

with $x^* = -w_0(x)$. Then the image of P_π under ι intersected with $\Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}} \times \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}$, the positive Weyl chamber for $G \times G$, is the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ [Kazarnovskii]. The above description the moment polytope of a group compactification can be found in [Kazarnovskii], also the additivity of the weight polytope was noticed by Kazarnovskii.

We end the section with few words about the additivity of the string polytopes. From the defining inequalities, it easily follows that the classical Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes for $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SP}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ (or a direct product of these), are additive, that is, $\Delta(\lambda + \mu) = \Delta(\lambda) + \Delta(\mu)$ (see [Bernstein-Zelevinsky] for the definitions of these G-C polytopes). But in general this is not true for string polytopes. In fact, for a reduced decomposition $\mathbf{S}$, there is a fan $\Sigma_{\mathbf{S}}$ such that the following holds.

Lemma 4.8 (Lemma 4.2, [Alexeev-Brion])**.** *Two weights $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{R}}$ lie in the same cone of $\Sigma_{\mathbf{S}}$, if and only if the polytope $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda + \mu)$ is the Minkowski sum of the polytopes $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\mu)$.*

From the above paragraph and Theorem 4.5 it follows that

Corollary 4.9. *The map $L \mapsto \Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X, L)$ is additive when G is one of the groups $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SP}(2n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ (or a direct product of these), and $\mathbf{S}$ is the nice decomposition giving Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes and finally X is a complete symmetric variety or a flag variety.*

5. Main theorem

Let X be a projective spherical variety of dimension n. Also assume that subalgebra A generated by $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ (over $\mathbb{R}$) has Poincare duality. We combine the formula for the degree (Theorem 3.5) and Theorem 2.1 to give a description of the subalgebra A.

Fix a basis $\{L_1, \ldots, L_r\}$ for $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ consisting of very ample line bundles. Every spherical variety has a finite number of T-fixed points and it follows from the theory of Bialynicki-Birula [Bialyncki-Birula] that X has a paving by (complex) affine spaces and hence $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathbf{a_i} = c_1(L_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, is a vector space basis for $H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$. Let $P(x_1,\ldots,x_r) = (x_1\mathbf{a_1} + \cdots + x_r\mathbf{a_r})^n \in H^{2n}(X,\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, be the homogeneous polynomial of degree n in Theorem 2.1. It suffices to know the polynomial P on $\mathcal{L}$. From Theorem 3.5, for $L = L_1^{x_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_r^{x_r}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1,\ldots,x_r) &= (x_1c_1(L_1) + \cdots + x_rc_1(L_r))^n \\ &= \deg(X,L) \\ &= n!\mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(X,L)). \end{aligned}$$

(As usual, $\mathbf{S}$ is a fixed reduced decomposition for w_0.) From Theorem 2.1 we have:

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a projective spherical variety. Let P be the volume polynomial on $H^2(X,\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ defined above. Let $x_1,\ldots,x_r$ denote the coordinates for $H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ in some basis. Then, the subalgebra A of $H^*(X,\mathbb{R})$ generated by $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{R}[t_1,\ldots,t_r]/I$ and I is the ideal defined by*

$$I = \{f(t_1,\ldots,t_r) \mid f(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}) \cdot P = 0\},$$

where $f(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r})$ is the differential operator obtained by replacing t_i with $\partial/\partial x_i$ in f.

6. EXAMPLES

6.1. **Toric varieties.** Let $G = T = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^n$ be a torus. Denote by M and N the lattice of characters and one-parameter subgroups of T respectively. There is a natural paring between M and N and hence one identifies N with M^*. Put $M_{\mathbb{R}} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Then $M \cong N \cong \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let X a be smooth projective T-toric variety. X is determined by a fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex lattice polytope of full dimension n and normal to the fan Σ. That is, the vertices of Δ lie in M and its facets are orthogonal to the one-dimensional cones in Σ. Every such polytope Δ determines a line bundle L_Δ on X. The set of convex lattice polytopes dual to Σ form a semi-group $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma$ with respect to the Minkowski sum of convex sets. Let us denote by $\mathcal{V}_\Sigma$ the vector space spanned by $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma$ over $\mathbb{R}$. The elements of $\mathcal{V}_\Sigma$ are the virtual polytopes which are normal to Σ. One can identify $\mathcal{V}_\Sigma$ with $\mathbb{R}^r$ where r is the number of 1-dimensional cones in Σ. Recall the definition of the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{V}_\Sigma$: for $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{S}_\Sigma$, $\Delta \sim \Delta'$ if they can be identified by a translation. This extends to virtual polytopes, i.e. $\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 \sim \Delta_1' - \Delta_2'$ if $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2' \sim \Delta_1' + \Delta_2$.

The mapping $\Delta \mapsto L_\Delta$ gives rise to a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}_\Sigma \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong H^2(X,\mathbb{R}).$$

From the Kušnirenko theorem

$$\deg(X, L_\Delta) = n!\mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta),$$

The volume function extends to a homogeneous polynomial P of degree n on $\mathcal{V}_\Sigma$, which we again denote by P. It is well-known that the cohomology ring of a smooth projective toric variety is generated in degree 2. From Theorem 5.1, we then recover the following description of $H^*(X,\mathbb{R})$:

Theorem 6.1 (Theorem §1.4, [Khovanskii-Pukhlikov]). *With notation as above, the cohomology algebra* $H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ *is isomorphic to the algebra* $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{V}_\Sigma)/I$, [3] *where* I *is the ideal defined by*

$$I = \{f \mid f(\partial/\partial x) \cdot P = 0\},$$

and $P(x)$ *is the homogeneous polynomial of degree* n *on* $\mathcal{V}_\Sigma$ *defined by*

$$P(\Delta) = \mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta),$$

for any polytope $\Delta \in \mathcal{S}_\Sigma$.

One can also recover the usual description of the cohomology ring of a toric variety by generators and relations, due to Danilov-Jurkiewicz, from the above theorem (see [Tim]).

6.2. **Complete flag variety.** Let G be a connected reductive group and let $X = G/B$ be the complete flag variety of G.

We now apply Theorem 5.1 to obtain a description of the cohomology ring of X in terms of volume of string polytopes. It is interesting to note that in this way we obtain analogous descriptions for the cohomology rings of the flag variety and toric varieties.

Let λ be a dominant weight and $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$ be a highest weight vector. One has a map $i : G/B \to \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$, $gB \mapsto g \cdot [v_\lambda]$. Let L_λ be the line bundle on G/B induced by the map i, i.e. $L_\lambda = i^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$. It is known that the map $\lambda \mapsto L_\lambda$ extends to an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Pic}(G/B)$ and Λ, and consequently an isomorphism between $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{R})$ and $\Lambda_\mathbb{R} = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Fix a reduced decomposition $\mathbf{S}$ for the longest element $w_0 \in W$. Recall that $\deg(X, L_\lambda) = n!\mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta_\mathbf{S}(\lambda))$ (Section 3.2(4)). It is well-known that the cohomology ring $H^*(G/B, \mathbb{R})$ is generated by $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{R})$. From Theorem 5.1 we have the following:

Corollary 6.2. *The cohomology ring* $H^*(G/B, \mathbb{R})$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Sym}(\Lambda_\mathbb{R})/I$, *where* I *is the ideal defined by*

$$I = \{f \mid f(\partial/\partial x) \cdot P = 0\},$$

and P *is the homogeneous polynomial of degree* n *on the vector space* $\Lambda_\mathbb{R}$ *defined by*

$$P(\lambda) = \mathrm{Vol}_n(\Delta_\mathbf{S}(\lambda))$$

for any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. *Moreover, when* G *is one of the groups* $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SP}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ *(or a direct product of them) and the reduced decomposition* $\mathbf{S}$ *is chosen so that the corresponding string polytopes are the Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes, then the map* $\lambda \mapsto \Delta_\mathbf{S}(\lambda)$ *extends to a linear embedding* $\Delta_\mathbf{S}$ *from* $\Lambda_\mathbb{R}$ *to the vector space* $\mathcal{V}$ *of virtual polytopes in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *(where* $N = \dim(G/B)$*). In this case, the polynomial* P *above is the composition of the linear map* $\Delta_\mathbf{S}$ *with the volume polynomial on the vector space* $\mathcal{V}$.

Remark 6.3. For a weight λ, by the Weyl dimension formula, we have

$$\#(\Delta_\mathbf{S}(\lambda) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) = \dim(V_\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle / \langle \rho, \alpha \rangle,$$

---

[3] If V is a vector space, $\mathrm{Sym}(V)$ denotes the dual of the algebra of polynomials on V.

and hence

$$\mathrm{Vol}_N(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda)) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\#(k\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)}{k^N} = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} \langle \lambda, \alpha\rangle / \langle \rho, \alpha\rangle,$$

where ρ is the half of the sum of positive roots. Notice that for each α, the equation

$$\langle x, \alpha\rangle / \langle \rho, \alpha\rangle = 0$$

defines a hyperplane perpendicular to the root α. If P is a product of the equations of a collection of hyperplanes perpendicular to the roots, by a theorem of Kostant [Kostant], the ideal of differential operators on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ which annihilate P, i.e.

$$\{f \mid f(\partial/\partial x) \cdot P = 0\}$$

is generated by the W-invariant operators of positive degree. This shows that our description of the cohomology of G/B as a quotient of the algebra of differential operators, coincides with Borel's description namely

$$H^*(G/B, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})/I,$$

where I is the ideal generated by the W-invariant polynomials of positive degree.

References

[Alexeev-Brion] Alexeev, V.; Brion, M. *Toric degeneration of spherical varities.* Selecta Math. (N.S.) 10 (2004), no. 4, 453-478.

[Bernstein] Bernstein, D. N. *The number of roots of a system of equations.* English translation: Functional Anal. Appl. 9 (1975), no. 3, 183–185 (1976).

[Bernstein-Kušnirenko-Khovanskii] Bernstein, D. N.; Khovanskiĭ, A. G.; Kušnirenko, A. G. *Newton polyhedra.* Usp. Mat. Nauk. 31, No. 3, (1976) 201-202.

[Bialyncki-Birula] Bialynicki-Birula, A. *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups* Ann. of Math. 98 (1973) 480-497.

[Bifet-DeConcini-Procesi] Bifet, E.; De Concini, C.; Procesi, C. *Cohomology of regular embeddings.* Adv. Math. 82 (1990), no. 1, 1–34.

[Brion] Brion, M. *Sur l'image de l'application moment.* Seminaire d'algebre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paule Malliavin, Paris 1986 (Lecture notes in Mathematics 1296). Springer, Berlin, 1987. pp. 177-192.

[Brion] Brion, M. *Groupe de Picard et nombres caracteristiques des varietes spheriques.* [Picard group and characteristic numbers of spherical varieties] Duke Math. J. 58 (1989), no. 2, 397-424.

[Bernstein-Zelevinsky] Berenstein, A.D.; Zelevisnky, A. *Tensor product multiplicities and convex polytopes in partition space*, J. Geom. Phys. 5 (1988), no. 3, 453-472.

[Caldero] Caldero, P. *Toric degenerations of Schubert varieties.* Transform. Groups 7 (2002), no. 1, 51-60.

[DeConcini-Procesi] De Concini, C.; Procesi, C. *Complete symmetric varieties.* Invariant theory (Montecatini, 1982), 1-44, Lecture Notes in Math., 996, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[Eisenbud] Eisenbud, D. *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[Gelfand-Cetlin] Gelfand, I.M.; Cetlin, M.L. *Finite dimensional representations of the group of unimodular matrices*, Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR (N.S.) ,71 , (1950), 825-828.

[Guillemin-Sternberg] Guillemin, V.; Sternberg, S. *The coefficients of the Duistermaat-Heckman polynomial and the cohomology ring of reduced spaces.* Geometry, topology, & physics, 202-213, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology, IV, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.

[Kazarnovskii] Kazarnovskii, B. *Newton polyhedra and the Bezout formula for matrix-valued functions of finite dimensional representations.* Functional Analysis and its applications, v. 21, no. 4, 73-74 (1987).

[Kaveh] Kaveh, K. *SAGBI bases and degeneration of spherical varieties to toric varieties.* Michigan Math. J. 53 (2005), no. 1, 109–121.

[Khovanskii-Pukhlikov] Pukhlikov, A. V.; Khovanskiĭ, A. G. *The Riemann-Roch theorem for integrals and sums of quasipolynomials on virtual polyhedra.* Algebra i Analiz 4 (1992), no. 4, 188-216; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 4 (1993), no. 4, 789-812.

[Kostant] Kostant, B. *Lie group representations on polynomial rings.* Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963) 327-404

[Kushnirenko] Kušnirenko, A. G. *Polyedres de Newton et nombres de Milnor.* (French) Invent. Math. 32 (1976), no. 1, 1–31.

[Littelmann] Littelmann, P. *Cones, crystals, and patterns.* Transform. Groups 3 (1998), no. 2, 145-179.

[Okounkov] Okounkov, A. *A remark on the Hilbert polynomial of a spherical variety*, Func. Anal. and Appl., 31 (1997), 82-85.

[Tim] Timorin, V. A. *An analogue of the Hodge-Riemann relations for simple convex polyhedra.* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 54 (1999), no. 2(326), 113-162; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 54 (1999), no. 2, 381-426

Kiumars Kaveh
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
Email address: kavehk@math.mcmaster.ca